

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application Of: Cheattle et al.

Group No.: To Be Assigned

Serial No.: To Be Assigned

Docket No. 30004519-2

Filed: January 9, 2002

For: A Method of Selectively Storing Digital Images



CLAIM OF PRIORITY TO AND
SUBMISSION OF CERTIFIED COPY OF UNITED KINGDOM APPLICATION
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §119

Honorable Commissioner of
Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In regard to the above-identified pending patent application and in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §119, Applicant hereby claims priority to and the benefit of the filing date of United Kingdom patent application entitled, "A Method of Selectively Storing Digital Images", filed February 10, 2001, and assigned serial number 0103362.0. Further pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §119, enclosed is a certified copy of the United Kingdom patent application

Respectfully Submitted,

**THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER
& RISLEY, L.L.P.**

By:



Scott A. Horstemeyer, Reg. No. 34,183

100 Galleria Parkway, Suite 1750
Atlanta, Georgia 30339
770-933-9500

EXPRESS MAIL

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Box: Patent Application, Washington, D.C. 20231, on

January 9, 2002
Express Mail No. EL891 018 304 US

Signature 


This Page Blank (uspto)



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

CERTIFIED COPY OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ



I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.


Signed

Dated

09 APR 2001



This Page Blank (uspto)



12FEB01 E605266-1 D01463

P01/7700 0.00-0103362 A

The Patent Office

Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

1. Your reference 30004519 GB

2. Patent application number 0103362.0 10 FEB 2001
(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)
Hewlett-Packard Company
3000 Hanover Street
Palo Alto
CA 94304, USA
496588004
Delaware, USA 10

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

4. Title of the invention A Method of Selectively Storing Digital Images

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)
Richard A. Lawrence
Hewlett-Packard Ltd, IP Section
Filton Road
Stoke Gifford
Bristol BS34 8QZ

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

7448038001 10

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number	Country	Priority application number (if you know it)	Date of filing (day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application	Number of earlier application	Date of filing (day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or

b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or

c) any named applicant is a corporate body.

See note (d))

Yes

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description	13
Claim(s)	5
Abstract	1
Drawing(s)	3 + 3 <i>ph</i>

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents	-
Translations of priority documents	-
Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)	1 + 2 <i>copy</i> ✓
Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77)	1 ✓
Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)	-
Any other documents (please specify)	

Fee Sheet ✓ *ph*

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature *Richard A. Lawrence* Date *08/02/2001*

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom
- Meg Joyce Tel: 0117-312-9068

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 08459 500505.*
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.*
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.*
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.*
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.*
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.*

A Method of Selectively Storing Digital Images

This invention relates to a method of selectively storing digital images.

5 When taking still photographs, a photographer needs to select a particular instant in time in which to capture an image. Whether or not the image will be pleasing to the eye, however, will depend on the photographers ability to recognise good photographic composition and to anticipate the time when the photograph should be taken to capture such composition. The photographic composition task is not trivial and involves
10 conscious anticipation of action and movement of the photographer in order to compare alternative viewpoints.

Generally speaking, the average person taking a photograph will not want to spend time considering composition factors, the end result being that many memorable events go
15 un-recorded or poorly-recorded. One way in which this problem is reduced in film-based cameras is to provide a motor-drive mechanism which automatically winds-on the camera film to allow photographs to be taken at the highest rate possible. Of course, such film-based cameras (being bulky and mainly mechanical in their film-winding structure) are limited in terms of the rate at which photographs can be taken
20 and ultimately produce a large number of photographs which are of no use or interest to the photographer. Digital cameras, which are being increasingly used, are able to capture photographic images at a faster rate than their non-digital counterparts. Thus, a user is able to select the better images, from a compositional point of view, from the large number of total images captured and stored. However, such cameras still suffer
25 from the disadvantage that a large number of captured images will be of no use or interest to the photographer. Since such cameras have a limited memory capacity, such unwanted images take up significant amounts of storage space and so frequent 'clearing-out' operations have to be performed, as well as post-editing to sort out the good-photos from the bad (and thus still requiring the user to have some appreciation of
30 composition).

According to a first aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of selectively storing digital images, the method comprising: storing, in a memory, a plurality of digital images received from a source, each image representing an event captured at a different respective time; using a processing means to perform an analysis of the image content; assigning a quality factor to each image, the quality factor being representative of the composition quality of the analysed image content; and updating the memory to maintain images for which the assigned quality factor indicates a higher composition quality than an image captured at an earlier time.

Thus, by using the processing means to analyse and assign a quality factor to each image, based on composition quality, the memory of the device in question can be maintained so as to store only those image which are indicated as being better (from a compositional point of view) than earlier-captured images. Accordingly, memory space, be it in a computer or in a digital camera, is better utilised. For example, in the method, first and second images may be stored, the second image being captured after the first image, the step of updating the memory comprising deleting the first image if its assigned quality factor indicates a lower composition quality than that assigned to the second image. The user is not required to have any real knowledge of composition considerations.

20

The step of analysing the image content may comprise identifying groups of images having similar content by means of comparing the content of an image acquired at a time t_1 with the content of images captured prior to t_1 , the step of updating the memory being performed separately for each identified group of images having similar content.

In this way, images representing differing viewpoints of a particular scene may be grouped together, with the step of updating the memory being performed for each group. The end result, therefore, should provide an number of image groups, with an image being stored for each group having good composition compared with others in that group. In the step of analysing the image content, the content of the image to be compared may be established by means of (i) identifying one or more area(s) of interest in the image, and (ii) tracking the motion of the area(s) of interest over subsequent images.

30

The step of identifying area(s) of interest may comprise segmenting the image into regions having generally consistent texture and/or colour.

5 The step of analysing the image content may further comprise identifying at least one subject area of interest, and wherein, in the step of assigning a quality factor to each image, the quality factor is representative of the composition quality of the subject area(s) of interest. In this sense, the subject area of interest will usually be the intended subject of the photograph, e.g. a person, animal, or object. In the step of identifying the subject area(s) of interest, the subject area(s) of interest might be assumed to be
10 generally located in the centre of the image.

In the step of identifying the subject area(s) of interest, human facial features may be identified as comprising the subject area(s) of interest.

15 The quality factor may be determined according to the size of the subject area(s) of interest, the composition quality increasing with the size of the subject area(s) of interest. Alternatively, the quality factor can be determined according to the spatial separation of the subject area(s) of interest with respect to other parts of the image having a high relative motion, the composition quality increasing with the amount of spatial separation. As a further option, the quality factor may be determined according to the presence of area(s) of interest at the edges of the image, the composition quality
20 decreasing in the presence of such area(s) of interest at the image edges.

In the case where the subject area(s) of interest are facial features, the quality factor
25 may be determined according to the presence of area(s) of interest obscuring the identified facial subject area(s) of interest, the composition quality decreasing according to the degree that the facial subject area(s) is/are obscured. Further, the quality factor may be determined according to the orientation of the identified facial subject area(s) of interest, the composition quality increasing if (a) there is at least one
30 identified facial subject area where most or all of the face is visible in the image and/or (b) there are two identified facial subject areas whose orientations face one another. Taking this a stage further, the quality factor might be determined according to recognition of facial subject area(s) of interest, the composition quality increasing if

there is a facial subject area in the image which is identified as being present in a database of previously-stored facial features.

5 The above-described methods of determining the quality factor of an image are by no means exclusive to one another. Indeed, more sophisticated algorithms may be devised to judge the composition quality of an image based on a combination of the above methods or by using further methods.

10 In one embodiment of the invention, in the step of storing images received from a source, the images are divided into first and second groups, the first group comprising images received at a first data rate and a first resolution, and the second group comprising images received at a second data rate and a second resolution, the second data rate being greater than that of the first data rate, and the second resolution being less than that of the first resolution, and wherein, in the step of analysing the image
15 content, the content of the image to be compared is established by means of tracking the motion of the area(s) of interest using images of the second group, the resulting quality factor being assigned to a preceding image of the first group. This effectively provides a situation where so-called "key-frames" (forming the first group of images and having a relatively high-resolution) are acquired. Preferably, between each
20 acquired key-frame, images in the second group are acquired at a higher data rate and with a lower resolution. Motion tracking may therefore be performed more efficiently and effectively on the high data rate images to produce a quality factor which can be assigned to the preceding high resolution image. Thus, the low resolution images may be discarded and the updating step performed on the high resolution images only.

25 The processing operation may be performed in-situ e.g. within a camera, or as a post-processing operation by means of downloading the contents of a camera memory to a computer system, the analysis and/or updating operations being performed by the computer system.

30 In accordance with a second aspect of the present invention, there is provided a computer program stored on a computer usable medium, the program comprising computer readable instructions for causing a computer to execute the steps of: storing,

in a memory, a plurality of digital images received from a source, each image representing an event captured at a different respective time; using a processing means to perform an analysis of the image content; assigning a quality factor to each image, the quality factor being representative of the composition quality of the analysed image content; and updating the memory to maintain images for which the assigned quality factor indicates a higher composition quality than an image captured at an earlier time.

In accordance with a third aspect of the present invention, there is provided a system for selectively storing digital images, the system comprising: a processor; a memory; and a video input port, the processor being arranged to store, in the memory, a plurality of digital images received from a source by means of the video input port, each image representing an event captured at a different respective time, the processing means being arranged to perform an analysis of the image content and to assign a quality factor to each image, the quality factor being representative of the composition quality of the analysed image content, the processor being further arranged to update the memory to maintain images for which the assigned quality factor indicates a higher composition quality than an image captured at an earlier time.

The system may comprise a computer system.

The system could be provided as an integral part of a digital camera, be it a still camera or a combined still/video camera. Accordingly, in a fourth aspect of the present invention, there is provided a camera system for selectively storing digital images, the camera system comprising: a processor; a memory; and an image capture system, the processor being arranged to store, in the memory, a plurality of digital images received from the image capture system, each image representing an event captured at a different respective time, the processing means being arranged to perform an analysis of the image content and to assign a quality factor to each image, the quality factor being representative of the composition quality of the analysed image content, the processor being further arranged to update the memory to maintain images for which the assigned quality factor indicates a higher composition quality than an image captured at an earlier time.

The invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a block diagram representing the elements of a camera system;

5

Figure 2 illustrates the arrangement of a number of frames captured by the camera system shown in Figure 1;

Figure 3 is a flowchart showing the different stages of analysis and image updating of the captured frames;

10

Figure 4 is a flowchart showing the different stages of assigning a quality factor to a captured frame; and

15 Referring to the drawings, Figure 1 shows a camera 1 which effectively comprises two separate camera systems 3, 5. The first camera system 3 is a high resolution camera which captures images at a rate of approximately one frame per second. The second camera system 5 is able to capture images at a faster rate, but at a lower resolution. The two camera systems 3, 5 capture images via a single lens system (not shown). Data representing the captured image frames is passed to a processor 7 and stored in a memory 9, which may be in the form of a microchip storage device, or a removable device such as a memory card or floppy disk. A data port 11 is also provided for transferring the image data to a personal computer (PC) 13.

20

25 In use, the camera may be operated in first or second modes. In the first mode, the camera operates as a conventional still camera. In the second mode, the camera operates in a so-called 'continuous capture' mode. In this latter mode, the two camera systems operate together to produce two different sets of frames, i.e. a set of high resolution frames (hereinafter referred to as 'key frames') from the first camera, interleaved by a number of low resolution frames (hereinafter referred to as 'video frames') from the second camera. The number of video frames being captured between each key frame will obviously depend on the relative capture rate of the two camera systems.

30

Figure 2 represents an example set of frames captured in the continuous capture mode. In this example, ten video frames (represented by reference numeral 14) are captured between every adjacent pair of key frames (represented by reference numeral 15). The image data representing the 14, 15 frames illustrated in Figure 2 are transferred to the PC by means of the video port 11.

The PC 13 includes an application program for performing an analysis and memory update operation of the image data received from the camera 1. The operation of this application program will be described in detail below. However, it should be understood that the operation of the application program need not be performed remotely from the camera 1. Indeed, the program could be stored and executed in a non-volatile memory within the camera 1.

The main purpose of the application program is to decide which of the captured key frames 15 should be stored, and which should be discarded (i.e. by a deletion or replacement operation) thereby freeing-up memory. This is performed by means of the application program performing an analysis of the image content, assigning a quality factor to the image content based on the composition quality of the image content, and updating the memory to remove key frames 15 which have a quality factor indicating a lower composition quality than a subsequently captured key frame. Thus, only those key frames 15 having 'interesting' content will be saved, and those key frames which are poor in terms of their content or composition will not occupy memory if a better key frame is identified.

25

As will be understood from the above, in this example, the selection process is performed only for the high-resolution key frames 15. The video frames 14 are used for identifying so-called 'regions of interest' in each image by tracking the relative motion of different regions having consistent colour and texture. It will be appreciated that motion tracking can be performed particularly efficiently with frames captured at a higher rate. It should also be appreciated that there are other conventional techniques for identifying regions of interest.

30

As will be discussed below, the application program is further configured to recognise different 'groups' of images having similar or comparable content. The updating operation may thereafter be performed in respect of each identified group, rather than on the whole set of key frames 15 acquired. Thus, if the user is pointing the camera 1 at a particular subject, then turns away to look at something completely different, then the update operation will be performed separately for the two different 'scenes' or groups. If the user points the camera 1 back to the original scene, the program should recognise that the new image key frame 15 is related to the original group of image key frames 15, and so the update operation will continue for that group.

The overall process by which the application program operates is illustrated by the flowchart of Figure 3.

Initially, a key frame 15 is examined by the application program in step 3a. In step 3b, an analysis is performed to determine whether the key frame 15 differs significantly from the previously captured key frame. This is performed using the video frames 14, and the abovementioned tracking operation for the areas of interest within the video frames. Other techniques for achieving motion tracking are known in the art. If it is determined that there are no significant differences between the image content, then the next frame is analysed and the process repeats at step 3a. If the analysis determines that there is significant difference, then in the next step (step 3c) the program determines whether there is an identifiable 'subject area of interest'. The subject area of interest will usually be the subject (e.g. a person) which the user is trying to capture, and will generally comprise one of the areas of interest. Many methods are possible for identifying such areas which are likely to be of particular interest. As an example, one technique is to identify foreground areas from background areas. One way of achieving this is to cluster the colours of particular regions to find a set of regions occupying a large proportion of the image, yet containing relatively few colours. Typically, such regions represent the sky or ground. Non background regions can then be grouped into subject area(s) of interest, by locating groups of non-background regions which are separated from other such groups by background regions. These groupings can be refined by the use of motion tracking. If a particular grouping of foreground regions later splits into two groups, clearly two objects are involved and this knowledge can be

used to revise the earlier analysis. A more refined approach to this aspect is to predispose the system to favour some subjects as more interesting than others. Typically, this will be done by enabling the system to recognise people of interest.

- 5 If no subject area is identified, then the process will go back to step 3a. If a subject area is identified, then the process goes to the next step, step 3d.

In step 3d, a comparison is made with previously stored key frames 15 relating to that subject area of interest. The easiest method of establishing whether a subject area of interest has been seen previously is by determining how its regions have been tracked from one frame to the next. This is suitable providing the object does not go out of view. If the object does go out of view and later reappears it is sometimes possible to detect this using one of the following methods, namely a) colour matching followed by shape and feature matching, b) detecting identifier tags on the object, (for example, 15 visible badges or infrared detected badges pinned to people of interest), or c) by tracking the motion of the camera 1, so that when the camera returns to a particular line of sight, views of static objects can be easily matched to previous views of the same objects. In this last method, motion sensors (e.g. gyros) are incorporated in the camera 1 such that the position of the camera is known. By keeping track of what the camera 1 20 has looked at previously, the application program will be able to determine whether or not a particular image has been seen before, i.e. by detecting that the camera is looking in the same direction as was previously the case.

To elaborate on b) mentioned above, the camera system can be configured to identify 25 subject areas of interest by means of 'identifying' so-called identifier tags which have been placed on particular subjects. In this way, it is possible to predispose the camera to identify and capture particular classes, or types, of subject. For example, the user might wish to capture images which only include a particular member or members of his family. Thus, by tagging members of his family, e.g. using a pin badge, the camera 30 will detect such tags and classify such identified regions as the subject areas of interest. The camera will not identify other areas of interest (e.g. simply because they exhibit a particular colour or interesting movement pattern). Such a method of identifying a

subject area of interest is particularly useful where 'interesting' subjects are mingling amongst similar 'uninteresting' subjects, say, in a theme park or zoo.

To take this a step further, different tags can be used for different classes of subject.

5 Different tag types can indicate the user's preference to what is captured. The user might predispose the camera to capture a person of prime importance, for example, a person at their own party, whilst at the same time configuring the camera to capture members of that persons family when the person of prime importance is not in view. The family members would have to wear different tag types to those worn by the
10 person of prime importance.

Of course, predisposing the camera system to identify tags not only requires the actual tag to be identified as a subject area of interest. The region 'attached' to that tag will, of course, form the overall subject and so detecting the regions around the tag will have
15 to be performed, e.g. by identifying particular colours and/or textures and/or tracking the relative motion of those regions with respect to the tag.

If the subject area has not been seen before, e.g. because the user has quickly turned away from the subject and has pointed the camera 1 at a completely different scene,
20 then the current key frame 15 is saved as the best frame for this particular 'group' of frames, and the process repeats from stage 3a again. If the subject area has been seen, e.g. the previous key frame was of the same subject area, but at a slightly different orientation, or because the camera 1 has been moved back to a previously captured scene, then the process moves on to step 3f. This step evaluates the composition
25 quality of the subject area of interest and assigns a quality factor to the key frame 15 as a result. This process is described in more detail below.

Referring to Figure 4, which shows step 3f in detail, at the start of the composition quality evaluation stage, a composition quality index, or factor, is set to zero. Analysis
30 of the subject area of interest thereafter follows to assess the quality of composition of that subject area with respect to the stored 'best frame' for this group. In a first test, at step 4a, the size of the subject area of interest is compared with the best frame. If it is larger than in the best frame, then the quality factor remains at zero. If it is smaller,

then the quality factor is incremented. At step 4b, the image is analysed to determine whether the subject area is closer to the centre of the overall image compared with the best frame. If not, the quality factor is incremented. In step 4c, the image is further analysed to determine whether there are distracting regions close to the edges of the frame. If so, the quality factor is incremented. These tests could prove particularly useful where the user is walking past a subject, keeping it roughly in the centre of the camera view. As the user approaches and passes the object, it will appear larger, occluding objects will move out of the way, and then the object will appear smaller or become occluded again. These three tests should provide a lower numerical quality factor (indicating a higher composition quality) for the closest frame with the subject being closest to the centre.

Whilst only three analysis steps 4a-c, or tests, are shown, it will be appreciated that more could be included. For example, the quality factor could be increased if there are large regions of boring detail, or where the subject area is more obscured by some other region than in the previous best frame.

In the case where the key frames 15 include facial features, conventional image recognition techniques could be employed to identify this special subject area. The analysis tests could be customised to deal with faces. For example, since it is particularly preferred that faces not be obscured, this test might be included. Images where the face appears to be looking towards another face, or looking in the same direction as another face might also be preferred, these characteristics being relevant to photographic composition techniques. Taking this a step further, images where the face is known to the user might be preferred. By keeping a database of facial features, a comparison could be made with the subject area of the captured key frame 15. The fact that there are a greater number of faces looking into the camera's view might also be a preferred characteristic.

At the end of step 3f, the key frame in question will be assigned the resultant quality factor. The higher the number, the lower the quality of composure and vice-versa.

Referring back to Figure 3, in step 3g, the assigned quality factor for the current key frame 15 is compared with that previously assigned to the best frame. If it is lower, then this indicates a higher composition quality, and so the best frame is deleted and replaced with the current key frame 15. The next key frame 15 is then analysed at step 5 3a, and so on, with the key frame previously analysed being considered the best frame for this next analysis. If the quality factor is higher, this indicates a poorer composition quality and so the current key frame 15 is discarded and the process repeats from step 3a.

10 In following the above described steps, the application program selectively and automatically stores only that key frame 15 (for each separate image group) which is considered the best composed version.

In this embodiment, the application program is executed in the PC 13. This means that 15 the camera 1 is operated over the course of a session until the in-situ memory 9 becomes full, at which time the image data is downloaded for processing, the camera memory becomes erased, and a new session initiated. Alternatively, however, the software could be executed within the camera 1 itself so that the frame selection is performed whilst the continuous capture mode is in operation. Thus, the in-situ 20 memory 9 of the camera will become full less frequently.

With the recent increase in use of 'non-viewfinder' cameras, such as those worn on a part of the body, the use of this method is particularly advantageous since the wearer will not have any perceivable idea of the composition which is being captured by the 25 camera. The method will allow images having the best composition to be captured and stored.

As a further point, it will be appreciated that the system described above finds useful application in mounted-camera situations. For example, in theme parks, mounted 30 cameras are commonly used to capture people on particular attractions, e.g. rollercoasters. However, such cameras do not capture images based on composition factors, but rather require some switching operation based on the position of the ride. The system described above does not require such switching, and can be used in any

mounted environment to capture images where composition is important. Photographs involving groups of people can also be identified and sorted using the above-mentioned tagging procedure.

- 5 Finally, whilst the above-described embodiment utilises two camera systems 3, 5 (producing two groups of frames as shown in Figure 2) it will be appreciated that the method is not limited to such an arrangement. Frames acquired at one capture rate and at the same resolution can be used, although where motion tracking is used to identify regions of interest in a frame, a high capture rate is preferable.

Claims

1. A method of selectively storing digital images, the method comprising:
storing, in a memory, a plurality of digital images received from a source, each image
5 representing an event captured at a different respective time; using a processing means
to perform an analysis of the image content; assigning a quality factor to each image,
the quality factor being representative of the composition quality of the analysed image
content; and updating the memory to maintain images for which the assigned quality
factor indicates a higher composition quality than an image captured at an earlier time.
10
2. A method according to claim 1, wherein first and second images are stored, the
second image being captured after the first image, the step of updating the memory
comprising deleting the first image if its assigned quality factor indicates a lower
composition quality than that assigned to the second image.
15
3. A method according to claim 1 or claim 2, wherein the step of analysing the
image content comprises identifying groups of images having similar content by means
of comparing the content of an image acquired at a time t_1 with the content of images
captured prior to t_1 , the step of updating the memory being performed separately for
20 each identified group of images having similar content.
4. A method according to claim 3, wherein, in the step of analysing the image
content, the content of the image to be compared is established by means of (i)
identifying one or more area(s) of interest in the image, and (ii) tracking the motion of
25 the area(s) of interest over subsequent images.
5. A method according to claim 4, wherein the step of identifying area(s) of
interest comprises segmenting the image into regions having generally consistent
texture(s) and/or colour(s).

6. A method according to claim 4 or claim 5, wherein the step of analysing the image content further comprises identifying at least one subject area of interest, and wherein, in the step of assigning a quality factor to each image, the quality factor is representative of the composition quality of the subject area(s) of interest.

5

7. A method according to claim 6, wherein, in the step of identifying the subject area(s) of interest, the subject area(s) of interest are assumed to be generally located in the centre of the image.

10 8. A method according to claim 6, wherein, in the step of identifying the subject area(s) of interest, the subject area(s) of interest are identified according to identification tags situated on particular subjects.

9. A method according to claim 8, wherein different tag types are provided for
15 different groups of subjects, the subject area(s) of interest being categorised according to the tag type identified.

10. A method according to claim 6 or claim 7, wherein, in the step of identifying the subject area(s) of interest, human facial features are identified as comprising the
20 subject area(s) of interest.

11. A method according to any of claims 6 to 10, wherein the quality factor is determined according to the size of the subject area(s) of interest, the composition quality increasing with the size of the subject area(s) of interest.

25

12. A method according to any of claims 6 to 10, wherein the quality factor is determined according to the spatial separation of the subject area(s) of interest with respect to other parts of the image having a high relative motion, the composition quality increasing with the amount of spatial separation.

30

13. A method according to any of claims 6 to 10, wherein the quality factor is determined according to the presence of area(s) of interest at the edges of the image,

the composition quality decreasing in the presence of such area(s) of interest at the image edges.

14. A method according to claim 10, wherein the quality factor is determined according to the presence of area(s) of interest obscuring the identified facial subject area(s) of interest, the composition quality decreasing according to the degree that the facial subject area(s) is/are obscured.

15. A method according to claim 10, wherein the quality factor is determined according to the orientation of the identified facial subject area(s) of interest, the composition quality increasing if (a) there is at least one identified facial subject area where most or all of the face is visible in the image and/or (b) there are two identified facial subject areas whose orientations face one another.

16. A method according to claim 10, wherein the quality factor is determined according to recognition of facial subject area(s) of interest, the composition quality increasing if there is a facial subject area in the image which is identified as being present in a database of previously-stored facial features.

17. A method according to any of claims 4 to 16, wherein, in the step of storing images received from a source, the images are divided into first and second groups, the first group comprising images received at a first data rate and a first resolution and the second group comprising images received at a second data rate and a second resolution, the second data rate being greater than that of the first data rate, and the second resolution being less than that of the first resolution, and wherein, in the step of analysing the image content, the content of the image to be compared is established by means of tracking the motion of the area(s) of interest using images of the second group, the resulting quality factor being assigned to a preceding image of the first group.

30

18. A method according to any of claims 3 to 17, wherein, in the step of identifying groups of images having similar content, a sensor signal is provided from

the source for indicating the position of the source, the sensor signal being used to determine whether the source has previously captured an image of a similar view or having similar content.

5 19. A computer program stored on a computer usable medium comprising computer readable instructions for causing a computer to execute the steps of any preceding claim.

10 20. A system for selectively storing digital images, the system comprising: a processor; a memory; and a video input port, the processor being arranged to store, in the memory, a plurality of digital images received from a source by means of the video input port, each image representing an event captured at a different respective time, the processing means being arranged to perform an analysis of the image content and to assign a quality factor to each image, the quality factor being representative of the
15 composition quality of the analysed image content, the processor being further arranged to update the memory to maintain images for which the assigned quality factor indicates a higher composition quality than an image captured at an earlier time.

20 21. A camera system for selectively storing digital images, the camera system comprising: a processor; a memory; and an image capture system, the processor being arranged to store, in the memory, a plurality of digital images received from the image capture system, each image representing an event captured at a different respective time, the processing means being arranged to perform an analysis of the image content and to assign a quality factor to each image, the quality factor being representative of
25 the composition quality of the analysed image content, the processor being further arranged to update the memory to maintain images for which the assigned quality factor indicates a higher composition quality than an image captured at an earlier time.

30 22. A method of selectively storing digital images, substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

23. A system for selectively storing digital images substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

24. A camera system for selectively storing digital images substantially as
5 hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

ABSTRACT**A Method of Selectively Storing Images**

5 A camera 1 comprises first and second camera systems 3, 5. The first camera system 3 is a high resolution camera which captures images (so-called 'key frames') at a relatively low rate, whilst the second camera system 5 is a low resolution camera which captures images (so-called 'video frames') at a higher rate. Accordingly, a plurality of video frames are captured between each key frame. An application program is provided, either in a PC 13 to which the frames are downloaded, or in the camera 1
10 itself. The main purpose of the application program is to decide which of the captured frames should be stored, and which should be discarded (i.e. by a deletion or replacement operation) thereby freeing-up memory. This is performed by means of the application program performing an analysis of the image content in the frames, assigning a quality factor to the image content based on the composition quality of the
15 image content, and updating memory to remove frames which have a quality factor indicating a lower composition quality than a subsequently captured frame. Thus, only those frames having 'interesting' content will be saved, and those frames which are poor in terms of their content or composition will not occupy memory if a better frame
20 is identified.




Figure 1




Figure 2





Figure 3.



3f

Figure 4.